

MAY 23 2006

LAW OFFICES OF  
**McGINN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, PLLC**

A PROFESSIONAL LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY  
PATENTS, TRADEMARKS, COPYRIGHTS, AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW  
8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 200  
VIENNA, VIRGINIA 22182-3817  
TELEPHONE: (703) 761-4100  
FACSIMILE/DATA: (703) 761-2375; 761-2376  
E-MAIL: MCGINNGIBB @ AOL.COM / ADMIN @ MCGINNIPLAW.COM  
SENDER'S E-MAIL: JHOWARD @ MCGINNIPLAW.COM

SEAN M. MCGINN  
PHILLIP E. MILLER†  
FREDERICK E. COOPERRIDER†  
JAMES E. HOWARD†  
JOHN J. DRESCH  
SCOTT M. TULINO  
J. BRADLEY WRIGHT†  
DONALD J. LECHER  
PETER F. MCGEE†  
†MEMBER OF BAR OTHER THAN VA

May 23, 2006

**VIA FACSIMILE**

To: Examiner Christy L. Novacek  
Group Art Unit: 2822  
U.S.P.T.O.

Facsimile No.: (571) 273-8300

From: James E. Howard

Facsimile No.: (703) 761-2375 or 76

Re: Enclosed Amendment After-Final Rejection Under 37 CFR §1.116  
U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/642,667  
Docket No.: 03186-1/2002-239455

Dear Examiner Novacek:

Enclosed for filing is an Amendment in response to the Final Office Action mailed on April 7, 2006, which should place the above-referenced case in condition for allowance.

Thank you in advance for your kind consideration on this case.

Very truly yours,



James E. Howard

JEH/geb  
Enclosures  
Total pages transmitted: 15

**RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

**MAY 23 2006**

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

**In re Application of**

Yoshihiro Satoh

**Serial No.:** 10/642,667

**Group Art Unit:** 2822

**Filed:** August 19, 2003

**Examiner:** Christy L. Novacek

**For:** METHOD FOR FABRICATING A SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE HAVING A  
TAPERED-MESA SIDE-WALL FILM

Honorable Commissioner of Patents  
Box AF  
Alexandria, VA 22313 - 1450

**AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL REJECTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.116**

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated April 7, 2006, please amend the above-identified application as follows:

**INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS**

Claims 1-11, 13-15, and 18-29 are all the claims presently pending in the application. Claims 11, 13-14, 18, and 23 are amended to more clearly define the invention and claims 12 and 16-17 are canceled. Claims 1, 6, and 11 are independent.